Brave New Multipolar World Globalization, development, emerging economies View project

# Global Multiculture, Flexible Acculturation

Article in Globalizations · March 2007		
DOI: 10.1080/14747730701245616		
CITATIONS		READS
33		470
1 author:		
	Jan Nederveen Pieterse	
	University of California, Santa Barbara	
	157 PUBLICATIONS 4,728 CITATIONS	
	SEE PROFILE	
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:		

## **Global Multiculturalism, Flexible Acculturation**

by Jan Nederveen Pieterse<sup>1</sup>

Multiculti, said critics, is only different wallpaper and a wider choice in restaurants. But the Danish cartoon episode, the murder of Theo van Gogh in Amsterdam, 7/7 in London and the car burnings in the French banlieues show that more is at stake. Multiculturalism is a global arena. Yet most treatments still conceive of multiculturalism as a national arena. Muslim women's headscarves from Istanbul and Cairo to Tehran and Lyon display a wide register of meanings, but in the French national assembly have been signified in just one. Multiculturalism means global engagement. To engage with the world is to engage with its conflicts. Multiculturalism is not no man's land. Multiculturalism is not consensus. There is no consensus in Britain about the war in Iraq and there isn't among immigrants either. The "securitization" of cultural difference confirms the interplay between global and multicultural frictions. Multiculturalism is one of the faces of globalization and globalization, at its Sunday best, is human history conscious of itself, which by the way isn't always nice.

Multiculturalism in contemporary accelerated globalization is profoundly different from the past. In the past migrants chose between two environments that were often radically different, now communication and travel back and forth is relatively ordinary. In the past migrants had to choose between two overall monocultural settings, now they navigate between two or more multicultural environments. Indians resident in the US can tune in to Indian TV news, alternate with an American show and tune back to Indian satellite programs, while in India foreign broadcasts are more widely available. Immigrant neighborhoods in Germany, the Netherlands and Sweden are fields of satellite dishes. Many Turks abroad lead multicultural lives, tuned to Turkish, German and other European broadcasts. They follow Turkish news, music and shows and choose between Hollywood, Bollywood or Cairo films. Jet travel has made vacations in the home country easier for migrant workers and their families. Retiring and buying a home in the country of origin is now more frequent. Migrant remittances are major revenue flows for the Philippines, Mexico, Pakistan, India etc. Irish and Scottish politicians canvas expatriates overseas and Mexican politicians campaign among Mexicans living in the US. Transnational

Routledge 2008, 65-80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper is also published in Jan Nederveen Pieterse: *Global Multiculture, Flexible Acculturation*. In Kevin Archer, M. Martin Bosman, Mark Amen and Ella Schmidt (eds.): *Cultures of Globalization: Coherence, Hybridity, Contestation*. London

relations are no longer simply two-way between country of origin and migration but across diasporic settlements in multiple continents. Gujarati Indians are continually in touch with family members in the UK, US, Canada, Australia and compare notes about where it is best and most advantageous to study, start a business, find a companion, or enjoy a vacation. Diasporas are linked transnationally and intercontinentally like pearls on a string. Meanwhile in the setting of neoliberal globalization, overall economic inequality is increasing and multiculturalism carries a heavier burden.

Multiculturalism has gone global over time and in rapid pace particularly since information and communication technology (ICT) and cheaper air travel in the 1990s. Just as ICT revolutionized production (flexible accumulation, offshoring, outsourcing), finance (24/7 global reach), firms (decentralization), it revolutionizes migration and multiculturalism. Migrants can now work in one space and culturally inhabit another. A consequence is that, as Michael Storper (2001) notes, in contemporary globalization the differences between localities have lessened and the variety within localities has increased.

The nation state is no longer the "container" of multiculturalism. Yet the multiculturalism literature remains overwhelmingly focused on the relationship between migrants and the host country and national policy options. This is unrealistic. It overlooks that for migrants and their offspring the conversation with the host nation is one among several, a conversation in which participation is optional and partial. The cultural ambience of the host nation is no longer encompassing; e-media tune to many worlds. Second, it underplays the dynamics of the host country-assimilation into what? The "nation" is a series of vortices of change-local, regional, national, macro-regional, transnational. Asian Muslims in the UK function locally in their workplaces, neighborhoods and cities, regionally, in Yorkshire etc., nationally, in the context of British policies and culture, move in the European Union with British passports, and relate to country of origin culture and transnational Islam or Hinduism. Third, this overlooks the role of rainbow conversations and economies across cultures-such as South African Malays studying Islam in Karachi; Turks selling Belgian carpets to Moroccans in the Netherlands (Nederveen Pieterse 2003). Fourth, it ignores the emergence of intermediary formations such as "Euro-Islam" ("a hybrid that attempts to reconcile the principles laid out in the Koran with life in a secular, democratic Europe"; Simons 2005), which is neither national nor belongs to another civilization.

Multiculturalism is global too because several diasporas outnumber the nations. The 73 million people of Irish descent worldwide dwarf the 4 million living in the Irish republic; out of almost 15 million Jewish people worldwide about 5 million live in Israel and similar equations apply to Greeks, Lebanese and Armenians. Multiethnicity exists worldwide and multiculturalism discourse and policy is spreading widely.

Postnationalism may be exaggerated shorthand but surely the national center and space hold much less than they did in the past.

Multiculturalism debates suffer from methodological and policy nationalism. Most discussions of multiculturalism are too preoccupied with questions of national policy to cope with multiculturalism issues that spill over boundaries. The 7/7 bombings in London and the threats of August 2006 created a culture shock (the attackers grew up as British lads) that multiculturalism debate has not been able to address adequately. It doesn't work to revisit the customary policy choice of integration or assimilation (Modood 2005). Global multiculturalism makes for a complex field that includes engagement with global conflict. Nations and cultures are no longer 360 degree environments. Conversely this means that Danish cartoons are also seen in Islamabad and Cairo, Illinois and Sarajevo. A joke made by a German commentator is also heard in Istanbul. A speech by GW Bush to veterans in Cincinnati plays the following day in Baghdad and on Al Manar TV.

Discussions of globalization and culture are dominated by shorthand such as McDonaldization and the clash of civilizations, which are ideological shortcuts rather than analytics. Second, the approach in terms of general norms–freedom of speech, democracy, human rights–without contextual fine print risks becoming part of institutionalized hypocrisy.

### **Multiculturalism conflicts**

Some cases may illustrate contemporary dynamics—the Danish cartoons mocking Islam and the murder of Theo van Gogh in the Netherlands. I give brief outlines because the cases have been widely covered in international media and detailed information is readily available.

The Danish cartoons originated in a contest for cartoons mocking Islam issued by one of the country's leading morning newspapers, Jyllands-Posten. Twelve cartoons were published on September 30, 2005 alongside this editorial note:

The modern, secular society is rejected by some Muslims. They demand a special position, insisting on special consideration of their own religious feelings. It is incompatible with contemporary democracy and freedom of speech, where you must be ready to put up with insults, mockery and ridicule. It is certainly not always attractive and nice to look at, and it does not mean that religious feelings

should be made fun of at any price, but that is of minor importance in the present context. [...] we are on our way to a slippery slope where no-one can tell how the self-censorship will end. That is why Morgenavisen Jyllands-Posten has invited members of the Danish editorial cartoonists union to draw Muhammad as they see him.<sup>2</sup>

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 2}$  The translation is from Wikipedia, under 'Muhammad cartoons controversy'.

The idea of a cartoon competition came from the newspaper's cultural editor, Flemming Rose. A year earlier he traveled to Philadelphia to visit Daniel Pipes and wrote a positive article about him.<sup>3</sup> Daniel Pipes, a fervent neoconservative Zionist who compares militant Islam with fascism (the familiar "Islamic fascism" idea) and sees total Israeli military victory as the only path to Middle East peace<sup>4</sup>, had been involved in the Danish multiculturalism debate since 2002 when he launched a virulent attack on Muslim immigrants in a newspaper article co-authored with a rightwing Danish journalist and historian.<sup>5</sup> The article sparked a debate on multiculturalism with Danish parliamentarians. By writing about Pipes in 2004 Flemming Rose revisited an attack on Muslims in Denmark that had been in motion for years. This suggests that from their conception the inflammatory cartoons were part of a transnational arena and reflect an elective affinity with American neoconservative agendas. The way they are framed, using free speech as an intercultural wedge issue, suggests that this is not a happy time to be Muslim in Denmark. The idea of sparking tensions with the Islamic world is not far from the surface. In October Islamic ambassadors sought a meeting with the Danish prime minister, which he declined. In November and December imams from Denmark took the cartoons to meetings with Muslim leaders in the Middle East and the Islamic Organization Conference. Meanwhile the cartoons were being reprinted in fifty countries. What ensued was an orchestrated response of anti-Danish demonstrations and boycotts virtually across the Islamic world in early 2006. Thus, a multicultural tussle sparked an almost worldwide conflagration. The fine print however indicates that from the outset it was designed to provoke

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Flemming Rose. *The threat from Islamism, Jyllands-Posten*, October 29, 2004 [Trans. in bellaciao.org/en/article.php3?id\_article=10253]. Cf. Bollyn 2006, Rose 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "The Palestinians are a miserable people… and they deserve to be." Daniel Pipes, Washington Report on Middle East Affairs, July 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Pipes and Hedegaard 2002 (and National Post, in Danish). (Quote: "For years, Danes lauded multiculturalism and insisted they had no problem with the Muslim customsuntil one day they found that they did. Some major issues: Living on the dole: Thirdworld immigrants-most of them Muslims ... - constitute 5 percent of the population but consume upwards of 40 percent of the welfare spending. Engaging in crime: Muslims are only 4 percent of Denmark's 5.4 million people but make up a majority of the country's convicted rapists (and)... practically all the female victims are non-Muslim. Self-imposed isolation: Over time, as Muslim immigrants increase in numbers, they wish less to mix with the indigenous population. A recent survey finds that only 5 percent of young Muslim immigrants would readily marry a Dane. Importing unacceptable customs: Forced marriages... are one problem. Another is threats to kill Muslims who convert out of Islam. ... Fomenting anti-Semitism: Muslim violence threatens Denmark's approximately 6,000 Jews, who increasingly depend on police protection. ... Seeking Islamic law: Muslim leaders openly declare their goal of introducing Islamic law once Denmark's Muslim population grows large enough - a not-that-remote prospect. If present trends persist, one sociologist estimates, every third inhabitant of Denmark in 40 years will be Muslim.")

Muslims, to manufacture a "clash of civilizations" around an artificial arena: free speech versus Muslim rage: "the exercise was no more benign than commissioning caricatures of African-Americans would have been during the 1960's civil rights struggle" (Smith 2006). A deliberate provocation met with an organized response. This is multiculturalism as a transnational arena. Discussing this under the heading of the dos and don'ts, pros and cons of free speech is beside the point, or rather frames the issue in the way the provocation sought to achieve. No one now claims that painting Swastikas and anti-Semitic slogans is a matter of free speech. They are hate speech and gestures of ethnic cleansing. The cartoons reflect a similar outlook. Notes Simon Jenkins, "Speech is free only on a mountain top; all else is editing" (2006).

Until recently Denmark was an exemplary progressive and strongly prowelfare Nordic country. In the 1990s an anti-tax party had not succeeded in winning votes. An alternative is to keep the social contract but to limit entry by appealing to what Habermas calls the "chauvinism of prosperity." Using this ladder rightwing parties have climbed to power by mobilizing anti-immigrant sentiment. Similar frictions run through many European countries-slow growth and welfare states under pressure from neoliberal constituencies who frame globalization in terms of competition. Rightwing parties have used anti-immigrant sentiment in Austria, Belgium, France, the Netherlands and Italy, usually to limited or temporary effect. With the passing of communism, Europe's rightwing parties also face an "enemy deficit." This entails various strands ofchauvinism-to advance party interests (Haider's FPÖ in Austria, the Front National in France, Vlaams Belang in Flanders, Fortuyn's Leefbaar Nederland), to advance regional interests (Lega Nord in Italy) or to bring a rightwing coalition to power and move economic agendas to the right (Berlusconi's coalition in Italy; the DLP and Japanese nationalism).

In November 2004 the Dutch filmmaker Theo van Gogh was murdered in Amsterdam while riding his bike to work in the morning. In August his latest film was shown on national television, "Submission Part I," an 11 minute film that featured semi-naked young women with Arabic Koran texts written on their bare bodies, seen through transparent veils, tokens of their submission to Islam. A play on words because Islam means "submission." On his talk show on Amsterdam TV van Gogh referred to Muslims as "goat fuckers." Van Gogh was stabbed to death by a 26 year old Dutch-born Muslim of Moroccan immigrant parents, Mohammed Bouyeri, who left a five page letter on the body threatening Ayaan Hirsi Ali, and was arrested within hours. A Somali born woman, Ayaan Hirsi Ali, conceived and co-wrote the film. As a Labor Party policy adviser she had been outspoken for years, described herself as a "lapsed Muslim" and declared Islam a "backward religion" and Mohammed "a pervert and tyrant." She advised the party policy unit to close all 41 Islamic schools, put a brake on immigration and change the article 23 in the Dutch constitution that establishes the rights for setting up separate schools and institutions—a central pillar of the Dutch system and a foundation of multicultural orthodoxy since the 1960s. The party rejected these extreme recommendations. In the wake of 9/11 she published articles arguing that Islam is not capable of integrating into Dutch society. In 2002 she stood as a member of parliament for the free market Liberal Party (VVD) and was elected.

Émigrés who act as cultural mediators, conservatives and progressives, are often granted a privileged status of authenticity. Among the former is VS Naipaul, the source of "Naipaulitis" as shorthand for the émigré from the global South who looks through metropolitan eyes. Among the latter is Edward Said who as a public intellectual consistently spoke up for the South without condescension (Brennan 1989, 2006). As a character in the multiculturalism drama, Hirsi Ali is closer to Salman Rushdie, a renegade bicultural insider who is taken to speak for "others." Some Muslims in the Netherlands accuse her of "pandering to the Dutch" and many Dutch people tire of her hijacking emancipation agendas for populist polarizing.

The political setting is welfare cuts, health care privatization, "pension tension," state crackdowns on illegal immigrants and immigrant youth delinguency, restrictive drugs policies and a difficult discussion on multiculturalism that argues that it has failed, largely because of the immigrants' failure to integrate. Pim Fortuyn used anti-immigrant, anti-Muslim sentiment to garner votes: "There is a tension between the values of modern society and the principles of Islam," "As far as I'm concerned, no Muslim will ever come in" (Kolbert 2002: 112, 108). He also took position against Turkey becoming a member of the EU. These figures-Fortuyn ("the right to freely talk crap"), van Gogh ("the Jerry Springer of Dutch social-political discourse"), Hirsi Ali ("no ruckus, no debate")-were (are), in popular parlance, "attention getters," loud, in your face.<sup>6</sup> Fortuyn, at a time when his political numbers were rising rapidly, was assassinated by a young animal rights activist; van Gogh was killed by a Muslim of Moroccan descent; Hirsi Ali continued as MP under police protection and left the Netherlands in 2006 to join the neoconservative American Enterprise Institute in Washington DC. Wilders, a rightwing MP and would-be successor to Fortuyn, also known for his anti-Muslim pronouncements, is also under police protection.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> On Fortuyn, see Broertjes 2002. On van Gogh and Hirsi Ali, see Andrew Osborn, Woman who defied Islam forced to flee (Guardian Weekly, November 14-20, 2002: 3), Ayaan Hirsi Ali, Ik bevraag de islam, een religie zonder zelfreflectie (De Volkskrant, October 30, 2004: 6), Bruce Bawer, Tolerant Dutch wrestle with tolerating intolerance (New York Times, November 14, 2004: 3), Jon Henley, I feel terribly guilty and very much afraid (Guardian Weekly, November 17, 2004), Farida Majid, How Van Gogh provoked Islamists (Daily Times, December 1, 2004), Rohan Jayasekera, Free speech fundamentalist on a martyrdom operation (no source), Alan Riding, Navigating expression and religious taboos (New York Times, January 22, 2005: A25), Marie-Claire Cécilia, Netherlands: the pillars are shaken (Le Monde diplomatique, March 2005: 4-5), Marlise Simons, 2 Dutch deputies on the run, from Jihad death threats (New York Times, March 4, 2005), Living with Islam: the new Dutch model? (The Economist, April 2, 2005: 24-26), Christopher Caldwell, Daughter of the Enlightenment (New York Times Magazine, April 3, 2005: 26-31), Alexander Linklater, Danger woman (Guardian Weekly, May 27-June 2 2005: 17).

Ideological murders and MPs under police protection are unprecedented in the Netherlands. In 2006 Hirsi Ali's denaturalization (she lost her passport and status as MP) and then re-naturalization led to the fall of the Dutch government and early elections. Through this episode her influence was reassessed in the media.<sup>7</sup>

There are further twists to the situation. For years van Gogh's killer had been an exemplar of integration in Dutch society: employed in a neighborhood youth center, active in local Amsterdam politics, but then he had a falling out with his employers, became alienated and joined Muslim militants. A television documentary gave (unconfirmed) indications that he had been a Dutch intelligence service (AIVD) plant in militant Muslim youth circles, but the service lost control.<sup>8</sup> In the municipal elections of March 2006 the government coalition parties and the Fortuyn type parties suffered a massive defeat in a landslide swing toward leftwing parties, in large measure due to immigrants voting en masse against the anti-immigrant bias of the governing coalition. This is a different kind of multiculturalism backlash.<sup>9</sup> The immigrant vote counts increasingly also in the US, Canada and UK.

In both episodes in Denmark and the Netherlands, conflict was sparked by willed provocations: symbolic violence begat violence. In both episodes the conflicts were about the character of the public sphere, a central arena of multiculturalism. In both cases appeals were made on behalf of "western values" (free speech, modernity) and involved a politics of tension targeting Islam or Islamism, but in effect marginal immigrants. It seems inappropriate to discuss this in normative terms of free speech or blasphemy; it should be addressed first in political terms: cui bono, who benefits from fomenting strife between Muslims and Denmark or Europe? In both cases the target is Islam and the backdrop to these multiculturalism skirmishes is heightened tension in relation to the Middle East. It is appropriate to consider the link between Islam and global multiculturalism.

The Middle East has long been an arena of geopolitical conflict. Consider the configuration that Tim Mitchell calls "McJihad" (2002) and Fatima Mernissi (2003) refers to as "palace fundamentalism": the relationship between western oil companies, the US government, arms sales, the Saudi royal family, wahhabite clergy, and the transnational network of conservative Islam. The nucleus of this configuration goes back to well before world war two. The conservative Muslim network, sustained by a steady flow of oil dollars, was mobilized in the anti-Soviet war in Afghanistan and by Israel and other governments as counterweights to leftwing forces. In significant measure the conservative Islamic network

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> E.g. Koopmans and Vliegenthart 2006; Kuper 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Katja Schuurman, "Prettig weekend, ondanks alles", 2005. Fauwe and van Amerongen 2006 report on Bouyeri's background.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> After five years residence immigrants have the right to vote and stand in municipal but not in national elections, so the left swing will be less marked in the parliamentary elections.

is a western creation, codependent with modern capitalism, a holdover of anti-communism, and now a source of blowback (Johnson 2000). What Samuel Huntington calls a clash of civilizations is no clash of civilizations at all but the political ramifications of political interventions in the Middle East going back for over half a century. Political tensions have escalated particularly since 9/11, the war on terror, wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, pressure on Syria and Iran, American expansion in Central Asia with a view to the Caspian basin, and lasting stalemate in Palestine. Conflicts in Bosnia, Chechnya and Kashmir, while not necessarily directly related to wider fault lines, add to the general conflagration involving Islam.

Part of the Middle East stalemate is double dealing on the part of the United States and other western powers. American support for autocracies and double standards in dealing with Israel continue to alienate and radicalize people in the region. Since political avenues other than Islam are generally closed off, Islam is a major avenue of political articulation. The US claims to seek accommodation in the region through cooperation with moderate governments and moderate Islam by promoting democracy; however its policies (unconditional support for Israel, detention without trial, Abu Ghraib, Guantánamo) alienate the very moderates it claims it wants to cultivate. Since Middle East policies are not under discussion in the US the situation is addressed through ideological repackaging and public diplomacy (Steger 2005). This targets Islamism as part of a discourse that places Islam on the outskirts of modernity-along the lines of Bernard Lewis, Fouad Ajami, Daniel Pipes, Thomas Friedman, Bassam Tibi, usually in binarisms (tradition & modernity, conservative & progressive, pro & anti-western, etc.). It is difficult to synch this diagnosis with the region's decades of global economic integration via the oil industry and decades of political integration under American tutelage, facing Israeli expansion and on the receiving end of the largest arms sales to any part of the world.

How does this affect global multiculturalism? Recent American policies escalate tensions that reverberate in every circuit. The ongoing stalemate and frustrations felt in the region, the expanding confrontations with the Islamic world, and the diplomacy of bullying have wide ripple effects.

Consider a news item such as this: "The Bush administration ... proposed Wednesday to spend \$85 million to promote political change inside Iran by subsidizing dissident groups, unions, student fellowships and television and radio broadcasts."

According to secretary of state Rice, "We will use this money to develop support networks for Iranian reformers, political dissidents and human rights activists." (Weisman 2006) The policy will probably make progressive ideas in Iran suspect and will bolster hardliners, as have past policies such as declaring Iran part of the axis of evil. If hegemonic power strides across borders and adopts regime change from within as

policy, then why should migrants be required to integrate in national society rather than integrating, likewise, along crossborder lines?

#### Flexible acculturation

Cultural difference as a marker, frontier, vocabulary, vortex, arena of conflict is as old as the hills. Multiculturalism as a manifestation of contemporary globalization is a seguel to multiethnicity, which is as old as the Stone Age when hunter-gatherers, cultivators and pastoralists cohabited and mingled. What is now different by degree is that not just local, domestic differences matter but conflicts that originate elsewhere are also fought out in the arenas of multiculturalism. Different by degree: in the religious wars of sixteenth and seventeenth century Europe translocal differences counted locally too. Contemporary times have been characterized, from a European viewpoint, as neo-medieval in that they show a similar overlap of jurisdictions and loyalties as in the middle ages (Kobrin 1998). In hindsight the sovereign nation state era might well appear as an anomalous historical interlude. The idea of nations as insular containers—with a national economy, national market, national firms, national bourgeoisie, national character, national culture, national politics-may seem an interval in a much longer and now resumed experience of crossborder flows that occupy center stage. Historically the translation of crossborder conflicts into local disputes is quite ordinary. In this sense contemporary global multiculturalism is historically normal, more normal than the inward-looking nation state epoch.

Flexible acculturation is as old as the phenomenon of subcultures that offer variable acculturation, as old as the situation imagined in the song "By the rivers of Babylon... we remembered Zion." What is new is the scope and degree of multi-circuit identification. During Nazism in the 1930s some emigrated from Germany while others opted for "inner migration," taking their thoughts and hopes to imaginary realms. In the US many blacks live on the other side of the tracks in poor housing and receive substandard education and services but participate in alternative circuits-churches, music circuits of blues and hip hop, the sports world in which their stars shine, the Black Entertainment channel, circuits of drugs and crime. These circuits offer belonging, recognition and a sense of feeling at home. Globalization amplifies the sources of the self (Nederveen Pieterse 2004a) and flexible acculturation is one of the forms this takes. It is cultural agency and picking and choosing cultural affiliation in the setting of global culture. Asian Muslims in English cities, North African beurs in French banlieues and many other migrants and their offspring share experiences of social exclusion and are increasingly ghettoized. "Asian communities living in several UK cities face social isolation as severe as that experienced in the black ghettoes of divided American cities like Chicago and Miami." UK cities are rising in the world rankings of segregation. "The idea was that people would assimilate. The danger is that the assimilation

process is so slow that for many it is just not possible" (Adam 2005, Draper 2005).

Exclusion in many instances is not occasional but institutionalized. In France le crise des banlieues is grounded in urban planning policies that privileged modern high rises à la Le Corbusier, like the high rises in south Chicago and the Bijlmer in Amsterdam Southeast, which combined gigantism and uniformity; city governments in Amsterdam and Rotterdam have razed these housing complexes (Caldwell 2005). According to a different view the issue is not the architecture or the housing but the location.

It is not occasional also because multiculturalism often combines with institutionalized amnesia and the refusal to view the country's colonial past in other than a benevolent light. This is a factor notably in France, Belgium, Japan and to a lesser extent the UK. According to article four of a law passed on February 23 2005, it is now 1.

compulsory in France to emphasise the positive dimension of the French colonial era in high school history courses and textbooks. When the Socialist party tried to overturn this controversial law recently, it was defeated in the National Assembly by a conservative majority that may have moved further to the right as a result of the recent violence. (Moisi 2005)

## Dominique Moisi comments,

By imposing political correctness on the teaching of the past, the National Assembly has committed more than a crime. It has made a crucial error. If one of the big challenges confronting France in the global age is that of integrating its minorities, then the imposition of a unilateral reading of history on all French people whatever their origins is not only anachronistic but offensive.

Refusal to come to terms with the French imperial past and the Algerian war combines with reluctance to view Algerian immigrants as permanent residents and citizens (Lyons 2004). The French law banning overt religious signs in schools, directed at the wearing of the hijab fits the same pattern of integration of minorities at terms set by the French elite, in other words monocultural multiculturalism (Vidal 2004: 4; Wieviorka 2004b). "France is a multicultural society par excellence still living the Jacobin dream of uniformity" (Wallerstein 2005).

Exclusion is not occasional also because multiculturalism is under multiple pressures: competitive globalization translates into pressure on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Caldwell 2005; cf. Hannerz 1992 on high rises and multiculturalism in Amsterdam.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> "Their physical isolation sustains a sense of alienation, they become dormitory ghettos" (Heathcote 2005)

welfare states and in view of the securitization of migration (discussed below) immigrants face increasing demands to conform and decreasing resources and incentives to integrate. The welfare state is shrinking precisely when demand for welfare services is expanding. Third, rightwing forces focus on migrants as a soft target and in several countries the political center has moved to the right on multiculturalism. Global multiculturalism provides multiple circuits of identification and integration that can make up for social exclusion at least symbolically. Alternative circuits are appealing when mainstream circuits are alienating; in social psychology this two-way traffic is termed interactive acculturation (Bourhis et al. 1997). It takes two to tango: the wider the gap between multiculturalism rhetoric and actual socioeconomic integration the greater the appeal of alternative and symbolic spaces of identification; that seems to be the basic geometry of flexible acculturation. In France, "the immigrant origin populations turn to Islam, not only out of fidelity to the values and religion of their parents but also because it gives meaning to an existence in a society which tends to despise them, to discredit them or to exclude them... Here religion is part of an endeavour to participate in modernity rather than to exclude oneself from it" (Wieviorka 2004a: 284). This may refer to an alternative modernity. Multi-circuit multiculturalism includes tea houses, cyberspace, mosques, "Muslim by day, disco at night" (Nederveen Pieterse 1997). Beur youths synchronized their riot actions across Paris quartiers and other cities via websites and mobile phones. The easy media terminology of "riots" underplays their degree of coordination and organization.

For many migrants at the bottom rungs of social experience, multiculturalism is a bogus exercise, a regime of platitudes, a tedious "race relations industry" that mainly benefits a small elite. The reality of multiculturalism on the ground is often a furnace of discontent where grinding anger results in inner migration into imaginary worlds of cyberspace, subcultures of gangs and petty crime, or desire to strike back and affiliate with hostile forces. This is part of what looms behind the 7/7 and August 2006 episodes in the UK: a backlash against bogus multiculturalism and alienation felt by Muslim youth in UK ghettoes and a response to the belligerent policies of the US and UK in the Middle East, Palestine and Iraq. The appeal of militant Islam is a matter of pull and push. It reflects the nature of conflict in the age of accelerated globalization-conflict is discursive, unfolds through representations, is channeled via media, crosses borders with the speed of light, is no longer spatially sequestered, is subject to multiple interpretations and evokes a wide variety of agency.

A standard response before and after the crisis and a response to 7/7 and the car burnings in France was to blame the victims of social exclusion for their lack of integration. Also in response to 9/11 few bothered to mention the role of American policies in the Middle East. Collective self reflection is in short supply. At a deeper level this

indicates the degree to which power-with-impunity and hypocrisy have been institutionalized.

The structural features that underlie global multiculturalism and flexible acculturation match those that Robert Cooper, Blair's foreign policy adviser, calls the postmodern state, pertaining in the EU: the fuzzy boundary between domestic and foreign affairs, mutual interference in domestic affairs and mutual surveillance, security based on interdependence and mutual vulnerability (Cooper 2000; Peters 2005: 110). (In contrast, the US state is characterized by "defensive modernism.")

The growing role of "intermestic" (international-domestic) affairs is a general trend. Global multiculturalism means engagement with conflicts worldwide. If societies are engaged globally it means that conflicts travel too. Conflicts cannot be contained locally. Multiculturalism and foreign policy cannot be treated separately. This has been part of global experience since the expulsion of the Jews from Spain and Portugal and part of recent European experience for instance in the Kurdish presence in Germany and Sweden. Lines drawn in multiculturalism are often drawn globally, for instance the French foulard affair: "the French debate has become "global." It has developed both locally and well beyond France, and has considerable diplomatic and geopolitical implications" (Wieviorka 2004b: 72). It reverberates from Turkey to North Africa.

Conflicts in Somalia over the status of women are part of an animal husbanding society and a trading society on the coast, in the throes of change. Folk Islam mixed with patriarchy and neopatriarchy is a party in this change. Dutch society with Somali immigrants also becomes a party in this change.

Multiple circuits of integration also mean multi-circuit blowback. The Danish PM not meeting with Muslim ambassadors might score domestic points but loses points in umma politics. Koizumi's annual visits to the Yasukuni shrine score with the Japanese right but shrink Japan's standing in the region. GW Bush's speeches assuring American audiences that Iraq is on the right track come across differently on Al Jazeera and in living rooms in Basra and Baghdad. The old compartmentalization of audiences and circuits is no more. Multi-circuit multiculturalism is what Walt Anderson calls "communities in a world of open systems" (1999).

Globalization amplifies the sources of the self and opens multiple organizational avenues, which is not particular to multiculturalism but a general condition. The Zapatistas mobilized in Chiapas and took their cause of democracy and dignity to the nation via savvy media skills and to the world via the internet and international encuentros against neoliberalism. Mobile phones played a key part in "people power" in the Philippines and Thailand, coordinating street action and bypassing mainstream media.

Migrant mobility and connectivity are variable and reflect class and migration history. The Indian diaspora is overall more prosperous and

mobile than Pakistani migrants. By comparison to Moroccans, Turks in Europe come from more urban backgrounds (many migrated to urban centers in Turkey before migrating abroad) and have more entrepreneurial experience and the Turkish economy and diaspora provide greater economic depth.

The account of contemporary globalization as the "annihilation of distance" (the death of distance, end of geography etc.) is shallow. What matters is social distance, mediated by cultural affinity. So what is at issue is the arbitrage of distance: distance or exclusion in one circuit is compensated for by integration in another, though not in a linear fashion. Nor are the circuits comparable in the goods they provide. They refer to different sectors—economic, social, cultural, cyberspace, symbolic—and provide diverse benefits.

Flexible accumulation deploys flexible methods (production, product features, location, labor conditions) towards a single purpose (accumulation). Flexible acculturation deploys flexible methods (switching and mixing cultural vocabularies and alternating circuits of affiliation) towards the general aim of belonging and being at home in the world. A parallel notion is Aihwa Ong's flexible citizenship.

I use the term flexible citizenship to refer especially to the strategies and effects of mobile managers, technocrats and professionals who seek to both circumvent and benefit from different nation-state regimes by selecting different sites for investments, work and family relocation... They readily submit to the governmentality of capital, while plotting all the while to escape state discipline. (Ong 1998: 136, 156-7; 1999)

This perspective differs from global multiculturalism in that the focus is on the Chinese diaspora, mainly on the Pacific Rim, and on elites (many are "well-heeled Hong Kongers") and their strategies of capitalist opportunism. Global multiculturalism includes elites but consists mostly of poor and less privileged migrants; it includes diasporas with long histories but also many recent migration chains; it includes economic opportunism but also a wider spectrum of interests. So while Ong's flexible citizenship also refers to diverse cultural politics its ambit is narrower than flexible acculturation in global multiculturalism. Another instance of flexibility–spatial, economic, cultural, legal–is Xiangming Chen's work on de-bordering and re-bordering in East Asia's border regions and their "local cosmopolitanism" (Chen 2005: 40).

Flexible acculturation is multidirectional and exercised by migrants, authorities and other actors. Politicians and governments switch and alternate discourses and policies they apply to migrants and citizens of immigrant origin. Multiculturalism is one register; security and socioeconomics are others, including the political economy of the welfare state. As Ong notes, "nation-states are reworking immigration law to attract capital-bearing subjects while limiting the entry of unskilled labor" (Ong 1998: 136). Canada, the US, UK and Australia

adopt a "give us your best and your brightest" brain-drain policy that operates as a tax on poor nations, or foreign aid in reverse (Kapur and McHale 2005).

A major trend is to reframe migration in security terms. In Europe this goes back to "Fortress Europe" measures that differentiate between member state and third country nationals and sought to bring migration under control: the Schengen accord, the 1992 European Union Treaty and the Treaty of Amsterdam that brought immigration, asylum and refugee matters under one heading (Waever 1996). By securitizing issues political elites achieve that these issues "trump normal democratic processes of debate and negotiation" and trump justice "since national security takes precedence over justice, and since disloyal minorities have no legitimate claims anyway" (Kymlicka 2004: 157).

The securitization of migration received a boost since 9/11. The United States applied massive security measures, curtailing civil liberties, tightening visa requirements, eavesdropping, rendition and detentions in Guantánamo, Bagram Airbase as part of the generalized preoccupation with terrorism. According to former national security adviser Richard Clarke, 7/7 shows the United States the way: "The British experience this summer has lessons for us about finding terrorist sleeper cells." It involves "infiltrating undercover agents into the population from which sleepers are recruited" and seeking "the cooperation of the American Muslim community in identifying possible problem groups and individuals" (Clarke2005). European governments participate in the surveillance and security discourse and practices. In Europe "minority nationalism only becomes securitized when it involves terrorism" (Kymlicka 2004: 159), but the definition of terrorism has widened.

Global multiculturalism exemplifies how technological and political changes affect the logics of globalization and conflict. Borders are not what they used to be, the state no longer holds the monopoly of the means of violence, technological changes enable the "democratization" of lethal weapons (warlords, crime syndicates, gangs) and arenas cross territorial boundaries. Gangs from East LA repatriate to El Salvador and move back again to operate in Louisiana (cf. Nederveen Pieterse 2004b: ch. 6 on technologies of work, war and politics).

Multiculturalism is inherently linked to inequality in the world, as an articulation of global inequality. Most migration is labor migration. If it weren't for steep inequalities people wouldn't move as much. Secondly, the same processes that reinforce overall global inequality make conditions in many multicultural societies harder. For the world majority neoliberal globalization creates a world that is harder to live in back home and in the metropolises. Welfare cutbacks make it harder to get by in multicultural societies while scarce jobs, rising income inequality, reduced state spending and the privatization of utilities in low-income countries make it harder to get by there as well. All-round Wal-Mart capitalism doesn't offer a benevolent script. This is the dark sea

underneath migration and multiculturalism. Multiculturalism going global affords migrants the opportunity to compare notes on economic dynamics in motion. Global poverty is part of bogus multiculturalism backlash. But it is not appropriate to reduce the current tensions to economic deprivation. The imposition of reckless power politics astride the world unleashes pent up tensions. A further element in the mix is the democratization of the means of violence.

There is now a strange disjuncture between general abstract principles and real time applications. In March 2006 a group of writers issued a statement warning that Islamism is a form of totalitarianism which is now the world's main danger:

After having overcome fascism, Nazism, and Stalinism, the world now faces a new global threat: Islamism... We, writers, journalists, intellectuals, call for resistance to religious totalitarianism and for the promotion of freedom, equal opportunity and secular values for all.

The writers include Salman Rushdie, Christopher Hitchens, exiled Bangladeshi writer Taslima Nasreen, Ayaan Hirsi Ali and Bernard-Henri Lévy. Ideas and ideologies have increasingly become a sphere of displaced politics (what politics doesn't want to solve, ideas and moral posturing should solve), so that in some real time discursive regimes they seem to mean the opposite of what they represent.

A pertinent response is the open letter to Prime Minister Blair sent by 38 British Muslim organizations and most Muslim MPs after the airplane bomb threats of August 2006, which accused the government of adopting policies that expose the nation to terrorist attack (Cowell 2006). The upshot is that multiculturalism and foreign policy cannot be treated separately.

A central struggle of multiculturalism concerns access to the public sphere, including public spaces, institutions, media, symbols and school curricula. The Danish cartoons and in a different way Hirsi Ali seek to marginalize Muslims in the public sphere. Consider these accounts of European public spaces in 2006. London in August: "Terror arrests outside my park; multiethnic peace within" (Goldfarb 2006); "Londonistan," according to a conservative British journalist (Philips 2006) and "Kasba Holland," a scene of intercultural mixing according to upbeat Dutch writers (Fauwe and van Amerongen 2006).

Over time, arguably, multicultural sharing of the public sphere and cultural and institutional power sharing is a likely trend in the West and Japan for structural demographic reasons (Tiryakian 2003). Europe, Japan and the US are graying and ethnic and cultural hegemony is not being reproduced demographically. In this sense multicultural Europe and the "browning" of America (1 in 8 Americans is of Hispanic background) are a matter of time. In this light the current skirmishes are rearguard actions that seek to halt what is, for structural economic and demographic reasons, an unstoppable trend.

#### Global multiculturalism

According to the Swedish anthropologist Ulf Hannerz there is now a world culture, which he gives a supple meaning:

There is now a world culture, but we had better make sure we understand what this means: not a replication of uniformity but an organization of diversity, an increasing interconnectedness of varied local cultures, as well as a development of cultures without a clear anchorage in any one territory. And to this interconnected diversity people can relate in different ways. (Hannerz 1996: 106)

Global multiculturalism is another way to describe this world culture. Here multiculturalism has two meanings. Multiethnicity has been the backdrop and infrastructure of global interconnectedness and globalization since time immemorial. It has not been nations that have been globalizing agents (though history books usually present it that way) but rather groups and regions within nations and migrants straddling nations. As mentioned before, several diasporas outnumber the population in the nation of origin, which shows that these trends have been in motion for some time. Growing multiethnicity in recent centuries produces a "declining congruence between the nation and the state" (Carment 1994: 560). We could interpret this as a changing balance between stationary and roving, sedentary and mobile strands of social life. This is a source of friction and inevitable conflict according to nineteenth century notions of "hard sovereignty," but twenty-first century trends are towards regional and transnational pooling of sovereignty and soft sovereignty in view of changing technologies, economies, culture and polities.

Contemporary multiculturalism is global because global political economy promotes crossborder traffic. New channels of communication and influence are taking shape. Many pubs in England now follow soccer matches via Al Jazeera Sports Plus, with the volume down, because the subscription charges are much lower than the commercial British sports channels (Carvajal 2006). New codes and vocabularies come in voque. Thus, English as a global lingua franca has given rise to "globish," as a description of global English as a practice and as a movement under the heading "Don't speak English, parlez Globish." The new wars are transnational, conflicts and conflict networks straddle boundaries, from the DR Congo's coltan and casserite mines and niche warfare on the borders of Rwanda to the CIA's "extraordinary rendition" and Guantánamo prison as a transnational site. The saying to fight a network it takes a network, cuts two ways. Cyberspace is global too. NGOs and social movements straddle boundaries. Multiculturalism discourse and policy is spreading globally-under headings such as minority rights, human rights or ethnic coalitions. And for all the

attention bestowed on immigration, the other side of the coin is emigration. For instance, "there are more UK nationals living overseas than there are foreign nationals living in the UK."

These trends usher in a new geography of global culture and a social formation that represents a new phase of globalization marked by flexible, multi-circuit identification. The conventional discussions of ethnicity and multiculturalism from national viewpoints are incomplete and unreal if they don't take into account the overall global changes. It is as if nation states want to have globalization on their terms, domesticated and custom fit, picturesque like theme park multiculturalism, but don't concede the many backdoor ways through which they interact with globalization and the agency this involves and evokes.

#### References

- Adam, David. (2005). UK Asians isolated in city enclaves, *The Guardian*, September 1: 12.
- Anderson, Walter Truett. (1999). *Communities in a world of open systems, Futures,* 31, 5: 457-63.
- Benard, Cheryl. (2003). *Civil democratic Islam: Partners, resources, and strategies.* Santa Monica, CA, Rand Corporation.
- Bollyn, Christopher. (2006). European media provoke Muslims to inflame Zionist "clash of civilizations', *American Free Press*, February 3 (http://www.rumormillnews.com/cgibin/forum.cgi?read=84976).
- Bourhis, R. Y., L. C. Moïse, S. Perreault, S. Senécal. (1997). *Toward an interactive acculturation model: a social psychological approach*, International J of Psychology, 32, 6: 369-86.
- Brennan, Timothy. (1989). Salman Rushdie and the Third World. New York, St Martin's.
- Brennan, Timothy. (2006). Wars of position: the cultural politics of left and right. New York, Columbia University Press.
- Broertjes, P. I., (ed). (2002). *Het fenomeen Fortuyn*. Amsterdam, De Volkskrant, Meulenhoff.
- Caldwell, Christopher 2005 Revolting high rises, New York Times Magazine, November 27: 28-30.
- Carment, D. (1994) The ethnic dimension in world politics: theory, policy and early warning, *Third World Quarterly*, 15, 4: 551-82.
- Carvajal, D. (2006). Al Jazeera on television is causing trouble for British pubs, but it's not political, *New York Times*, August 21: C6.
- Cheah, Pheng and Bruce Robbins, eds. (1998) Cosmopolitics: thinking and feeling beyond the nation. Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press.
- Chen, Xiangming. (2005). As borders bend: Transnational spaces on the Pacific Rim. Boulder, CO, Rowman & Littlefield.
- Clarke, Richard A. (2005). Finding the sleeper cells: the London attackers can show us what to look for, *New York Times Magazine*, August 14: 16.
- Cooper, R. (2000), *The postmodern state and the world order.* London, Foreign Policy Centre.
- Cowell, Alan. (2006) In open letter, British Muslims throw harsh criticism at Blair and his policies, *New York Times*, August 13: 4.

- Draper, Ian. (2005). Britain: acceptance not integration, *Le Monde diplomatique*, March: 5.
- Fauwe, L. de en A. van Amerongen. (2006) Kasba Holland. Amsterdam, Atlas
- Goldfarb, Michael. (2006) This is London, *New York Times*, August 13: WK11. Hannerz, Ulf 1992 Culture, cities and the world. Amsterdam, Centre for
- Metropolitan Research
- Hannerz, Ulf 1996 Transnational connections: culture, people, places. London, Routledge
- Heathcote, Edwin 2005 How France's suburbs became dormitory ghettos, Financial Times, November 1920
- Jenkins, Simon 2006 These cartoons don't defend free speech, they threaten it, Sunday Times, February 5.
- Johnson, Chalmers 2000 Blowback: the costs and consequences of American empire. New York, Henry Holt
- Kapur, Devesh and John McHale 2005 Give us your best and brightest. Washington, DC, World Bank
- Kobrin, S. J. 1998 Back to the future: neomedievalism and the postmodern digital world economy, J of International Affairs, 51, 2: 361-86.
- Kolbert, Elizabeth 2002 Letter from Rotterdam: beyond tolerance, The New Yorker, September 9: 106-114
- Koopmans, R. and R. Vliegenthart 2006 De schijn van heiligheid: onderzoek naar de politieke invloed van Ayaan Hirsi Ali, NRC Handelsblad, July 2-3: 35.
- Kuper, Simon 2006 Holland's crowded house, Financial Times, August 26-27: W21-2
- Kymlicka, Will 2004 Justice and security in the accommodation of minority nationalism, in Stephen May, Tariq Modood and Judith Squires, eds, Ethnicity, Nationalism and Minority Rights. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 144-75
- Lyons, Amelia H. 2004 Invisible immigrants: Algerian families and the French welfare state in the era of decolonization, 1947-1974. University of California, Irvine, Dissertation
- Mernissi, Fatema 2003 Palace fundamentalism and liberal democracy, in Emran Qureshi and Michael A. Sells, eds, The new Crusades: constructing the Muslim enemy. New York, Columbia University Press, 58-67
- Mitchell, Timothy 2002 McJihad: Islam in the US Global Order, Social Text, 20, 4: 1-18
- Modood, Tariq 2005 Remaking multiculturalism after 7/7, Open Democracy, 29 September
- Moisi, Dominique 2005 France is haunted by an inability to confront its past, Financial Times, December
- 12: 15.
- Nederveen Pieterse, Jan 1997 Traveling Islam: mosques without minarets, in Ayse Öncü and Petra Weyland,
- eds, Space, culture and power. London, Zed, 177-200 Nederveen Pieterse, Jan 2003 Social capital and migration: beyond ethnic economies, Ethnicities, 3, 1: 5-34 Nederveen Pieterse, Jan 2004a Globalization and culture: global mélange. Boulder, CO, Rowman &
- Littlefield Nederveen Pieterse, Jan 2004b Globalization or Empire? New York, Routledge Nederveen Pieterse, Jan 2006 Islam and cosmopolitanism, in Noha Nasser, ed., Islamizing cosmopolitan
- space. London, Routledge (forthcoming) Nerrière, Jean-Paul 2004 Don't speak English, parlez Globish. Paris, Eyrolles Ong, Aihwa 1998 Flexible citizenship among Chinese cosmopolitans, in Cheah and Robbins, eds,

- Cosmopolitics, 143-62 Ong, Aihwa 1999 Flexible citizenship: the cultural logics of transnationalism. Durham, NC, Duke University Press
- Peters, Michael A. 2005 Between empires: rethinking identity and citizenship in the context of globalization, in P. Hayden and C. el-Ojeili, eds, Confronting globalization: humanity, justice and the renewal of politics. London, Palgrave, 105-22
- Philips, Melanie. (2006). Londonistan: how Britain is creating a terror state within. London, Gibson Square.
- Pipes, Daniel and Lars Hedegaard. (2002). Something Rotten in Denmark? New York Post, August 27.
  - Qureshi, Emran. (2006). The Islam the riots drowned out, *New Times*, February 12: WK 15.
- Rose, Flemming. (2004.) The threat from Islamism, *Jyllands-Posten*, October 29 [Trans. in bellaciao.org/en/article.php3?id\_article=10253]
- Rose, Flemming. (2006). Why I published the cartoons, *Washington Post*, February 19: B01.
- Simons, Marlise. (2005). Muslim women in Europe claim rights and keep faith: embracing Islam and independence, *New York Times*, December 29: 3.
- Smith, Craig S. (2006.) Adding newsprint to the fire, *New York Times*, February 5: WK5.
- Sriskandarajah, D. (2006.) Britain must look at that other group of migrants, *Financial Times*, August 7: 11.
- Steger Manfred B. (2005.) American globalism "Madison Avenue-style': a critique of U.S. public diplomacy after 9-11. In P. Hayden and C. el-Ojeili, (eds.), Confronting globalization: humanity, justice and the renewal of politics. London, Palgrave, 227-41.
- Storper, Michael. (2001.) Lived effects of the contemporary economy: globalization, inequality and consumer society. In J. Comaroff and J. L. Comaroff (eds.), *Millennial capitalism and the culture of neoliberalism*. Durham, NC, Duke University Press, 88-124.
- Tiryakian, Edward A. (2003.) Assessing multiculturalism theoretically: E Pluribus Unum, Sic et Non. *International Journal on Multicultural Societies*, 5, 1: 20-39.
- Vidal, Dominique. (2004.) France: hate and the hijab, *Le Monde diplomatique*, February: 4.
- Waever, Ole. (1996.) European security identities. *Journal of Common Market Studies*, 34: 103-32.
- Wallerstein, Immanuel. (2005.) The inequalities that blazed in France will soon scorch the world, *The Guardian*, December 3.
- Weisman, S. R. (2006.) Rice is seeking millions to prod changes in Iran, *New York Times*, February 16: A1-12.
- Wieviorka, Michel. (2004a) The making of differences, *International Sociology*, 19, 3: 281-97
- Wieviorka, Michel. (2004b) The stakes in the French secularism debate, *Dissent*, summer: 71-3.